Formulation Affects the Rate of Membrane Degradation Catalyzed by Cationic Amphiphilic Drugs
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Cationic amphiphilic drugs (CADs), such as haloperidol, are able to translocate through biological membrane bilayers via a chemically activated degradation of the phospholipid fabric of the membrane, which may constitute a third type of drug translocation process. We present evidence that this effect is dependent on the drug formulation. The choice of drug counterion is shown to influence the rate of bilayer hydrolysis, leading either to promotion of the reaction or the drug’s precipitation via a counterion condensation process.

Introduction

The transport of compounds, pharmaceutical or otherwise, across a cell membrane is conventionally split into one of two mechanisms: either passive transport or active transport.1–3 Active transport is dominated by those compounds, such as glucose and insulin, that are recognized as being essential by specific biological receptors. These are pumped from systemic circulation into tissue and against the concentration gradient, at the cost of cellular energy in the form of adenosine triphosphate.4 Passive transport, by contrast, requires no such expenditure of cellular energy and, thus, is considered to proceed via a diffusive mechanism, which fits well with the experimental data.5–7 Passive diffusion can occur in both directions across a membrane, although the net direction is for molecules to travel down the concentration gradient. The rate of diffusion is governed by Fick’s First Law of Diffusion, with the rate of diffusion being proportional to the concentration gradient across the membrane.

Despite the apparent prevalence of passive diffusion as a transportation mechanism in vivo, relatively little research has been undertaken regarding its physical underpinnings. This is of critical importance, given that the majority of pharmaceutical compounds are hydrophobic (they have an octanol:water partition coefficient (log P) value in the range of 3–5, and molecules with a log P value of > 5 have been shown empirically to have a low bioavailability8). Despite this, they seem to readily diffuse into and across aqueous cellular compartments, through their preferred environment into regions in which their presence is energetically unfavorable.

A range of studies on highly hydrophobic compounds such as lipid signaling molecules9–12 and cannabinoids13–15 have suggested that current transport models may not be all-encompassing. In these investigations, the compounds are shown to migrate to the lipophilic membrane region, then diffuse laterally through it to reach receptor binding sites. It was this transport mechanism that, until recently, led to the incorrect assumption that many receptors were expressed on the outer bilayer leaflet. The cannabinoids have log P values in the range of 7–8, which implies very low solubility in water. Even a drug such as haloperidol (Figure 1), with a log P value of 4.2, will partition into lipophilic media to the extent that only ~0.1% will be available for systemic circulation (studies indicate that, for monovalent cationic drugs at pH 7.4, octanol:water partition coefficients are very similar16). In both cases, it is unclear how the molecules are able to translocate from one bilayer to the next, given that, once inserted in the hydrophobic part of the bilayer, it is energetically unfavorable for them to leave. However, bilayer translocation must be occurring, because haloperidol exhibits an acceptable pharmacokinetic profile, being very widely prescribed as an antipsychotic drug. This implies that the interactions between systemic ligands and cellular receptors may be more complex than envisaged by the traditional “lock and key” model. More importantly, it also suggests the action of a transport mechanism other than active transport and passive diffusion, which are normally ascribed to these and other, less-hydrophobic drugs.

In a previous study,17 it was demonstrated that a range of cationic amphiphilic drugs (CADs) such as haloperidol could catalyze the hydrolysis of ester linkages within membranes composed of phospholipids such as dioleoylphosphatidylcholine, (DOPC), thereby releasing lyso-lipids (in this case, monooleoylphosphatidylcholine (lyso-PC)) and the concomitant fatty acid, oleic acid (OA). Over a wide range of temperatures, DOPC, when mixed with water, will form a fluid lamellar structure.18 In contrast, lyso-PC forms micellar aggregates with an interface that curves strongly away from the aqueous interface, whereas OA/DOPC mixtures exhibit a strong propensity for interfacial curvature toward the water, forming an

![Figure 1. Structure of haloperidol-HCl, which is a typical cationic amphiphilic drug (CAD).](Image 379x431 to 496x509)
Figure 2. Schematic depicting the proposed mechanism of drug transport: (a) the drugs, shown as black ovoids, are introduced to a DOPC bilayer (where the lipids are depicted as light gray objects), (b) to which they bind; (c) CAD-catalyzed hydrolysis of DOPC leads to the formation of lyso-PC (dark gray regions); (d) over time, lyso-PC accumulates; and (e) as the concentration of lyso-PC increases, micelles will bud off. Incorporation of the drug molecules within the micelles and subsequent binding to a different membrane leaflet results in drug translocation.
Experimental Methods

Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich (U.K.). Trifluoroacetic and methanesulfonic acid were purchased from ABCR (Germany). DOPC was purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (USA) as a lyophilized powder for small-angle X-ray scattering experiments (SAXS), NMR studies, and high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis. All chemicals were of reagent grade or better and were used without further purification.

HPLC analysis was performed with a dual-pump system (Model 626 LC, Waters). Measurements were conducted on a Kromasil 60-5-column (Hi-Chrom) and analyzed via evaporative light scattering, using an ESA 301 detector. The mobile phase gradient ran from 100/21/0.1 hexane/isoo-propyl alcohol/acetic acid/triethylamine w/w to 155:17.5:2:0.1 iso-propyl alcohol/water/acetic acid/triethylamine.

SAXS experiments were conducted using a custom-designed beamline, based around a Bede Microsource X-ray generator (Durham, U.K.) with integrated optics, an Ealing linear transition stage (Ealing Electro Optics, U.K.), and a Photonic Science, Ltd., Gemstar intensified CCD X-ray detector (Battle, U.K.). X-ray exposures (5 × 60 s at 25 °C) were combined to give a cumulative diffraction plot. The resulting diffraction patterns were analyzed with the IDL-based AXcess software package that was developed by Andrew Heron at Imperial College.

Synthesis. (1) Haloperidol-HCl.35 One hundred milligrams (250 μmol) of haloperidol (free base) was dissolved in 8 mL of isopropl alcohol, 8 mL of 12 M HCl, and 4 mL of acetone. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min, and the solvents were then removed under reduced pressure. The product was a quantitative yield of white crystals with the following specifications: melting point (Tm = 223–224 °C, δ [1H, 270 MHz, d6-DMSO]: 1.68 (m, 2H); 1.95 (m, 2H); 2.29 (m, 2H); 3.18 (m, 8H); 5.46 (s, 1H); 7.28 (m, 6H); 7.93 (m, 2H); 10.27 (broad, 1H).

(2) Haloperidol-HBr. Similar to haloperidol-HCl, but with aqueous HBr. Tm = 154 °C. NMR showed a dimeric salt structure.36 δ [1H, 270 MHz, d6-DMSO]: 1.44 (d, 1H); 1.92 (m, 1H); 2.19 (m, 2H); 2.38 (m, 1H); 2.90 (m, 1H); 3.35 (m, 6H); 3.52 (m, 2H); 5.71 (m, 0.5H); 6.34 (m, 0.5H); 7.58 (m, 6H); 8.19 (m, 2H); 9.43 (broad, 0.5H); 9.76 (m, 0.5H).

(3) Haloperidol-CH3SO3H (mesylate). The mesylate salt was successfully prepared via the addition of 12 mg (125 μmol) of methanesulfonic acid to a solution of 50 μg (125 μmol) of haloperidol in 5 mL of tetrahydrofuran (THF), which was then stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure to yield 57.0 mg (120.8 μmol, 96.6% yield) of white crystals. δ [1H, 270 MHz, CDCl3]: 1.90 (m, 2H); 2.16 (m, 2H); 2.49 (m, 2H); 2.62 (s, 3H); 3.04 (m, 4H); 3.32 (m, 4H); 4.41 (broad s, 2H); 7.13 (m, 4H); 7.42 (m, 2H); 9.68 (broad s, 1H).

(4) Haloperidol-HCO2H (formate). Similar to haloperidol-HCl, but with 99.7% formic acid. Tm = 132 °C. δ [1H, 400 MHz, d6-DMSO]: 1.49 (d, 2H); 1.84 (m, 4H); 2.18 (m, 4H + DMSO); 4.10 (broad, 1H + H2O); 7.44 (m, 6H); 8.06 (m, 2H); 8.20 (broad s, 1H).

(5) Haloperidol-F3CCHO2H (TFA). Fifty milligrams (125 μmol) of haloperidol was dissolved in 5 mL of a 1:1 trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)/dichloromethane (DCM) mixture and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a quantitative yield of colorless gum. δ [1H, 270 MHz, CDCl3]: 1.85 (m, 2H); 2.02 (m, 2H); 2.36 (m, 2H); 3.08 (t, 4H); 3.27 (dd, 2H); 3.39 (m, 2H); 5.14 (m, 1H + DCM); 7.20 (m, 6H); 7.89 (m, 2H); 11.12 (broad s, 1H).

(6) Haloperidol-1/2H2O2CCHCHCO2H (maleate). The maleate salt was successfully prepared as haloperidol mesylate. Tm = 131–133 °C. δ [1H, 400 MHz, d6-DMSO]: 1.89 (d, 2H); 2.13 (m, 2H); 2.28 (m, 2H); 2.91 (t, 2H); 3.18 (m, 4H); 3.22 (d, 2H); 6.14 (s, 1H); 7.13 (t, 2H); 7.28 (t, 2H); 7.40 (d, 2H); 7.95 (m, 2H).

Sample Preparation for HPLC and SAXS. Twenty five milligrams (32 μmol) of lipid was dissolved in dry cyclohexane and lyophilized under liquid nitrogen. The dry powder was redissolved in 0.5 mL of a 1:1 chloroform/methanol mixture and dispersed in a sample vial that contained 1.6 μmol of haloperidol salt. This mixture was subjected to a vortex for 1 min, dried under a nitrogen stream until a translucent film was observed, and then left to desiccate in a vacuum oven at 37 °C overnight.

The dry lipid–haloperidol salt mixture was hydrated with 75 μL of 10 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4–3.1 buffer/lipid, w/w). The hydrated sample was subjected to a vortex for 30 s before being centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 30 s. The process was repeated three times, to ensure that the sample was homogenous. The vial was sealed and placed in an aluminum heating block within a dark oven to incubate at 37 °C. Sample hydration levels were monitored over the course of each experiment. This process was applied to each of the haloperidol salt forms, a haloperidol-free base, and a control vessel that contained only DOPC. An additional vessel that contained haloperidol·HCl was prepared for SAXS analysis.

Samples with a volume of 8 μL were removed from each of the vials at regular periods over the following 8 days. Each sample was frozen in liquid nitrogen, dried under vacuum, and then redissolved in 1 mL of a mobile phase and analyzed via HPLC.

Samples with a volume of 10 μL were removed from the bulk haloperidol·HCl sample on days 1, 5, and 7 and analyzed via SAXS. The samples were dispersed in 1.5-mm-diameter glass capillary tubes before being flame-sealed, the end of which was then coated in a silicone sealant (Dow Corning Corp.).

Results and Discussion

Effects of Counterions on CAD-Catalyzed Membrane Degradation. Time-dependent HPLC analysis was undertaken on DOPC:haloperidol samples in the presence of TFA, formate, maleate, and hydrochloride counterions. Only monovalent counterions were studied, which confined the experiment to the study of the more-kosmotropic salt forms. These preferentially reside at the interface rather than in the bulk solution, inhibiting the hydrolysis. DOPC degradation and lyso-PC appearance profiles for these samples constructed using HPLC analysis are shown in Figure 3. Significant DOPC hydrolysis was detected in both the haloperidol formate (the most kosmotropic of the ions studied) and haloperidol TFA samples, as shown in Figure 3a; the rates of degradation in the hydrochloride and maleate systems are indistinguishable from the background. Note that these measurements were conducted on condensed-phase systems, thereby reducing the rate of reaction, with respect to measurements conducted in vesicular environments.

Previous work has demonstrated that the hydrolytic activity of amphipathic CAD molecules at membrane interfaces is sensitive to the degree of stored curvature elastic stress in the bilayer.17 This strain occurs due to the propensity for each monolayer leaflet in the bilayer to bend in equal but opposite directions. In a flat bilayer, this is physically frustrated and causes the stored curvature elastic stress. We can understand the effect at the molecular level by considering a flat monolayer
that is composed of lipids where the cross-sectional area of the chain is compressed and the headgroup area is expanded. Here, the monolayer would reduce its curvature elastic energy by bending toward the water, because this would reduce the headgroup area while simultaneously expanding the cross-sectional area of the chain. The insertion of a drug molecule at the polar/apolar interface in such a case will reduce the stored curvature elastic stress (because it allows the chain area to increase). The single-chain lipid (lyso-PC) has a strong tendency to create interfaces that bend away from the water, whereas oleic acid/DOPC mixtures have a strong tendency to bend toward the water; therefore, one would expect the local distribution of the products of hydrolysis to have an effect on hydrolysis rates.

During the hydrolysis phenomena monitored in Figure 3, the composition of the membrane varies as a function of time (DOPC is degraded to OA and lyso-PC), as does the ratio of lyso-PC in micelles \( N_{\text{Lyso-M}} \) to that in the bilayer components \( N_{\text{Lyso-B}} \). This makes modeling the kinetics of the process extremely difficult, as the stored curvature elastic stress, which regulates the rate of reaction, is not a time-independent constant. The rates of the similar reaction mediated by phospholipase A2 \( (\text{PLA}_2) \) have been investigated in some detail.\(^{39,40}\) Some studies indicate that the activity of the enzyme is strongly linked to the relatively slow formation of acid-rich anionic micродomains, which leads to “lag-burst” kinetics.\(^{31-43}\) It may prove that a similar effect is at work in this model, and that the observed plateau of lyso-PC levels is simply a transitional state; however, this seems unlikely, given that, unlike PLA2, the drugs under investigation are capable of hydrolyzing either or both of the lipids’ acyl chains. Interestingly, previous studies have demonstrated that a range of CAD molecules are capable of inhibiting the action of PLAs, which suggests that some type of negative feedback process may be at work.\(^{44,45}\) Although it has been possible to measure the total DOPC and lyso-PC content \( (N_{\text{Lyso-M}} + N_{\text{Lyso-B}}) \) of the samples, as a function of time, the data do not allow us to discriminate between the submesophase fractions \( N_{\text{Lyso-M}} \) and \( N_{\text{Lyso-B}} \). Moreover, there are currently no models that allow us to quantify the stored curvature elastic stress as a function of composition in ternary mixtures of OA, lyso-PC, and DOPC.

Our results also suggest that, if membrane hydrolysis is to be observed, ion recondensation and precipitation must be avoided. This hypothesis was supported by SAXS studies conducted in parallel with the HPLC experiments. Figure 4 depicts the SAXS patterns recorded for a DOPC:haloperidol-HCl (20:1) sample, as a function of time.

The presence of the protonated haloperidol at the membrane interface, which is key to the bilayer hydrolysis, promotes the formation of a swollen fluid lamellar \( \text{L}_\text{q} \) phase, the \( d \)-spacing of which increased to a maximum of 107.71 Å after five days (see Figure 4b). This swelling, which is not observed in the fluid lamellar phase formed by the control sample \( d \)-spacing of 61.3 Å) is the result of repulsion between charged bilayer interfaces.\(^{46}\) After eight days, the \( d \)-spacing of the DOPC:haloperidol-HCl system was observed to have decreased to 89.46 Å.
Â (see Figure 4c). Further investigations at room temperature showed that a more-pronounced decrease in repeat spacing occurred in DOPC:haloperidol-HCl systems after several weeks, with a minimum d-spacing of 56 Å (data not shown). This is markedly lower than that of a pure DOPC fluid lamellar phase under excess water conditions, which is what would be expected in this instance and implies that the lamellar phase has been dehydrated.

At this point, the drug no longer resides at the bilayer interface and, instead, precipitates to form drug crystals, halting the hydrolysis reaction in the process. Furthermore, this shrinkage and, instead, precipitates to form drug crystals, halting the hydrolysis reaction in the process. Moreover, this shrinkage

Conclusions

Our experiments demonstrate that the choice of counterion exerts a major effect on the hydrolytic activity of haloperidol and regulates the possibility of charge recondensation phenomena, which will reduce the membrane:water partition coefficient and ultimately result in precipitation of the drug molecule. Despite the excess of Cl\textsuperscript{−} ions in these experiments, altering the counterion resulted in dramatic differences in the activity of haloperidol within the bilayer. These results imply that the choice of counterion may have a significant effect on the ability of cationic amphiphilic drug molecules to hydrolyze membrane systems and, therefore, their ability to traverse bilayer scaffolds via a degradative mechanism. In instances where this pathway is inhibited, transport across a phospholipid bilayer is restricted to the mode of passive (and active if under conditions) transport. These results imply that variations in the nature of the associated anion may allow the ‘‘tuning’’ of a pharmacophore’s systemic mobility without compromising or even modifying its structure. Currently, variations in drug formulations, with respect to the nature of the associated anion, are undertaken to maximize the stability of the cationic amphiphilic drug (CAD) in solution; such formulations may not, in fact, be optimal, with respect to the mobility of the drug in vivo and, therefore, its ability to reach its intended target. Finally, the extent of hydrolysis confirmed that the mechanism must be catalytic, with respect to haloperidol, because the extent of degradation exceeded that which could be attributed to a stoichiometric reaction.
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